**FAX**

RECEIVED

JUL 25 2000

Woodcock Washburn Kurtz  
Mackiewicz & Norris LLP

RECEIVED

TELECOPY/FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION JAN 11 2001

DATE: July 25, 2000

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

**PAGES: 2**

(No. of pages including this cover sheet)

**TO:** Mark Rosen  
(ATTORNEY, AGENT OR FIRM)

08/823980

---

(ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER OR APPLICATION NUMBER)

215-568-3439

---


(FAX/TELECOPIER NUMBER)**FROM:** Ron Schwadron, Ph.D., Primary Examiner,**ART UNIT 1644**GROUP 1600 FAX NUMBERS: (703)308-4242 OR (703)305-3014 for  
FORMAL communications only.

EXAMINER'S OFFICE PHONE NUMBER: (703)308-4680

DOCKET NO.: CHIR-0108  
938.007

1644  
PATENT  
RECEIVED

JAN 11 2001



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE TECH CENTER 1600/2900

**In Re Application of:**

Weiner et al.

**Serial No.:** 08/823,980

**Group Art Unit:** 1644


**Filing Date:** March 25, 1997

**Examiner:** R. Schwadron

**For:** CONSERVED MOTIF OF HEPATITIS C VIRUS E2/NS1

DATE OF DEPOSIT: January 3, 2001

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER IS BEING  
DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL  
SERVICE AS FIRST CLASS MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID  
ON THE DATE INDICATED ABOVE AND IS  
ADDRESSED TO THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER  
FOR PATENTS, WASHINGTON, DC 20231.

  
TYPED NAME: Doreen Yatko Trujillo  
REGISTRATION NO.: 35,719

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington DC 20231

Sir:

**COMMUNICATION REGARDING  
OFFICIAL ACTION DATED JULY 3, 2000**

On June 21, 2000, Applicants filed a Preliminary Amendment subsequent to the Continued Prosecution Application filed April 6, 2000. An Official Action was issued on July 3, 2000 which did not refer to or reflect entry of Applicants' Preliminary Amendment. Applicants' Attorney conducted a telephonic interview with Examiner Schwadron on July 25, 2000 and requested entry of the Preliminary Amendment. The Examiner indicated that a new Official Action would be sent in response to Applicants' Preliminary Amendment and that


the response period would be reset to the mailing date of the new Official Action. The Examiner memorialized this interview in an Interview Summary (copy enclosed) faxed to Applicants' Attorney on July 25, 2000. To date, however, Applicants have not received a new Official Action as indicated in the Interview Summary.

Nevertheless, pursuant to the enclosed Interview Summary, Applicants believe the outstanding Official Action dated July 3, 2000 to be withdrawn and no response to be due. As such, Applicants' also believe that no extension of time is necessary. To the extent this belief is in error, Applicants hereby petition for any necessary extension of time and the Commissioner is authorized to charge any extension fees or fee otherwise deemed necessary to Deposit Account No. 23-3050.

Date: January 3, 2001

  
Doreen Yatko Trujillo  
Registration No. 35, 719

Woodcock Washburn Kurtz  
Mackiewicz & Norris LLP  
One Liberty Place - 46th Floor  
Philadelphia PA 19103  
Telephone: (215) 568-3100  
Facsimile: (215) 568-3439



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

06/823950

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILED DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED:

### INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mark Rosen (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Ren Schwadron (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 7/25/2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: NONE

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Indicated that new Office Action would be sent in response to amendment filed 6/23/2000. Response period would be reset to mailing date of new Office Action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

7/25/2000